







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,438	12/20/2000	John K. (Jack) Thomasson	03882.007/1325 P	4033
75	90 09/10/2002			
PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER			EXAMINER	
Suite 1800 201 South Main Street			TRAN, PHILIP B	
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2218			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	Ü
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002	: 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/746,438 Applicant(s)

Art Unit Philip B. Tran

2155

Thomasson et al

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
_	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO		and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any re	sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	patent term adjustment. Good of Grift Trough.	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 19, 20	001 .
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	tion of Claims	
4) X	Claim(s) <u>41-73</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 41-73	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	locuments have been received in this National Stage
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	The translation of the foreign language provisional	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:
. AA	Similaria proposato estatoriatifol li 10	of Callet.

Serial Number: 09/746,438 Page 2
Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 4

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 41-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillon, U.S. Pat. No. 5,995,726.

Regarding claim 41, Dillon teaches a communications system for communicating between an information provider and users at client computers on a computer network, the system comprising:

a satellite receiver (i.e., satellite receiver 180) operating to receive download data from the information provider [see Fig. 1]; and

a server computer in electronic communication with said satellite receiver and in electronic communication with the computer network, said server computer operating to receive

Serial Number: 09/746,438 Page 3
Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 4

the download data from said satellite receiver and operating to route the download data to client computer via the computer network (i.e., hybrid terminal 110, with SLIP provider 130 and application server 140 in communication with satellite receiver 180 and computer network internet 128 to distribute download data to the devices on the network) [see Fig. 1, Abstract, and Col. 3, Line 50 - Col. 4, Line 62 and Col. 5, Lines 14-55].

Dillon does not explicitly teach a local area network (LAN) with plurality of clients in communication with the server (i.e., LAN and plurality of clients are not shown on Fig. 1). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to be motivated by disclosure of the Internet 128 by Dillon to include a client-server connected to a LAN with a plurality of clients because it is old and known in the art to use LAN or WAN with plurality of clients to connect to the Internet.

Regarding claims 42-43, Dillon does not explicitly teach the computer network is a local area network (LAN) or a wide area network (WAN). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to be motivated by disclosure of the Internet 128 by Dillon to include a client-server connected to a LAN or a WAN for the same reasons set forth above to claim 41.

Regarding claim 44, Dillon further teaches the server computer is programmed to route the download data to said plurality of client computers on the local area network (i.e., hybrid terminal 110 with one or more application programs to route the download data to the devices on

Serial Number: 09/746,438

Art Unit: 2155

Page 4 Paper No. 4

the network) [see Fig. 1]. Dillon does not explicitly teach the server is irrespective of the client computers' operating systems such that said server computer does not require the same operating system for each client computer of the plurality of client computers. However, the use of different operating systems for server and plurality of clients is well-known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implements different operating systems for different devices on the network in order to allow clients and server to be placed independently on nodes in a network and increase the flexibility of utilizing different hardware platforms and operating systems appropriate to their functions.

Regarding claims 45-46, Dillon further teaches a storage medium wherein said server computer's routing of the download data includes storing the download data on said storage medium [see Col. 3, Line 66 - Col. 4, Line 17 and Col. 8, Lines 34-41 and Col. 9, Lines 38-50 and Col. 11, Lines 1-38].

Regarding claims 47-48, Dillon does not explicitly teach the storage medium is an intermediate storage medium such as a cache and wherein the download data is stored on said intermediate storage medium prior to receipt of the download data by said plurality of client computers. However, implementation of storage for storing download data before transferring the data to the plurality of clients is well-known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a cache for storing data and transmit data only when needed in order to reduce traffic on the network.

Serial Number: 09/746,438

Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claims 49-54, Dillon further teaches the server computer runs a server operating system [see Col. 8, Lines 8-10].

Regarding claim 55, Dillon further teaches the server computer routes the download data using a standard local area network protocol (i.e., TCP/IP) [see Abstract and Fig. 1].

Page 5

Regarding claims 56-57, Dillon further teaches the system provides bi-directional electronic communications (i.e., upload and download by land-line link) [see Fig. 15]. Dillon does not explicitly teach a local area network with a plurality of clients in communication with the information provider. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a client-server system connected to a LAN for the same reasons set forth above to claim 41.

Regarding claim 58, Dillon does not explicitly teach a plurality of local area networks wherein said server computer operates to route the download data to said plurality of local area networks. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to be motivated by disclosure of the Internet 128 by Dillon to include a client-server connected to a plurality of LAN with a plurality of clients for the same reasons set forth above to claim 41.

Serial Number: 09/746,438 Page 6
Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 4

Regarding claim 59, Dillon teaches a server computer for communicating between a global communications network and client computers on a computer network, the server computer comprising:

network hardware for connecting said server computer to the computer network and communications hardware for enabling electronic communications with a satellite receiver (i.e., hybrid terminal 110 with satellite interface 120 for connecting to the satellite receiver 180 and also through modem to the Internet network) [see Fig. 1 and Col. 4, Lines 18-22 and Col. 6, Line 40 - Col. 7, Line 5];

a processor and a computer readable medium containing network instructions for communications between said server computer and the computer network (i.e, processor and memory for executing the instructions) [see Col. 3, Line 66 - Col. 4, Line 17];

satellite instructions for communications between said server computer and the satellite receiver (i.e., one or more application programs for communication between the satellite receiver 180 and the hybrid terminal 110 and the network) [see Fig. 1 and Col. 1, Line 61 - Col. 2, Line 25];

router instructions, said router instructions operating to receive download data and to route the download data to client computers, and wherein said network instructions, said satellite instructions and said router instructions are executable by said processor (i.e., hybrid terminal 110, with SLIP provider 130 and application server 140 in communication with satellite receiver 180 and computer network internet 128 to distribute download data to the devices on the network) [see Fig. 1, Abstract, and Col. 3, Line 50 - Col. 4, Line 62 and Col. 5, Lines 14-55].

Serial Number: 09/746,438 Page 7
Art Unit: 2155 Paper No. 4

Dillon does not explicitly teach a local area network (LAN) with plurality of clients in communication with the server (i.e., LAN and plurality of clients are not shown on Fig. 1).

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to be motivated by disclosure of the Internet 128 by Dillon to include a client-server connected to a LAN with a plurality of clients because it is old and known in the art to use LAN or WAN with plurality of clients to connect to the Internet.

Claim 60-61 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 42-43.

Claim 62 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 44.

Claims 63-64 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 45-46.

Claims 65-66 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 47-48.

Claim 67 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 49-54.

Claim 68 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 55.

Claim 69 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 58.

Claims 70-71 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 59.

Regarding claim 72, Dillon teaches a method for providing access to a global communications network for a plurality of client computers on a computer network, which comprises receiving download data from a satellite receiver in electronic communication with a server computer and routing the download data from the server computer to the client computers via the computer network. (i.e., hybrid terminal 110 is in communication with the satellite

Serial Number: 09/746,438

Art Unit: 2155

Page 8 Paper No. 4

receiver 180 for receiving download data and transferring data packets to other computers on the network) [see Fig. 1 and Col. 1, Line 61 - Col. 2, Line 25 and Col. 3, Line 50 - Col. 4, Line 62 and Col. 5, Lines 14-55].

Claim 73 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 72.

Other References Cited

- 3. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Dillon, U.S. Pat. No. 6,067,561.
 - B) Rothblatt, U.S. Pat. No. 6,105,060.
 - C) Donahue et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,411,616.
 - D) Willis et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,358,647.
 - E) Suzuki et al, U. S. Pat. No. 6,003,067.
- 4. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS, OR THIRTY DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

Serial Number: 09/746,438

Art Unit: 2155

Page 9 Paper No. 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-8767. The Group fax phone number is (703) 746-7239.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh, can be reached on (703) 305-9648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PBT Philip B. Tran Art Unit 2155 Sept 04, 2002

> AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100